UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,213	10/06/2005	Christian Friedrich	5038.1011	6720
23280 759 DAVIDSON DA		EXAMINER		
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC 485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR			WHITE, DWAYNE J	
NEW YORK, NY 10018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	
				<u> </u>
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONT	HS	01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

MT

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/552,213	FRIEDRICH, CHRISTIAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dwayne J. White	3745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 October 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 8-20 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		-			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
91	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	<u> </u>	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a)	, h-(d) or (f)			
,	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
۵٫۱	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			V			
• • •	,					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	e of References Cited (P1O-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/06/05</u> .						

Application/Control Number: 10/552,213

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 8, 9, 11-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grunke et al. (2004/0012152). Grunke et al. discloses a gas turbine engine comprising: a plurality of blades, a casing 10 enclosing the plurality of blades, and an abradable coating 12 on the casing, the coating sealing a radial gap between the casing and the rotating blades, wherein the coating is produced from lanthanum hexaaluminate having a magnetoplumbite structure. The coating is a multi-layered coating having an outermost layer 16 is produced from the lanthanum hexaaluminate (Paragraph 31) and an interior adhesion layer 20 (paragraph 29) between the outermost layer and the casing. Grunke et al further discloses an intermediate layer 18 of zirconium dioxide (paragraph 33) between the interior layer and the outer most layer.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,213

Art Unit: 3745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10, 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saruhan-Brings et al. (2002/0197503) in view of Grunke et al. Saruhan-Brings et al. discloses a heat insulating coating for a gas turbine engine comprising a coating of lanthanum hexaaluminate having a magnetoplumbite structure wherein the coating is a single layer of lanthanum hexaaluminate. Saruhan-Brings et al. does not disclose that the coating is abradable or the specific location at which the coating is applied.

Grunke et al. discloses the same coating as stated above and further discloses that the use of lanthanum hexaaluminate provides good protection against the temperature changes of the turbine engine during operation. Since both Saruhan-Brings et al. and Grunke et al. disclose the coating having the same use, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the coating of Saruhan-Brings et al. in an environment as taught by Grunke et al. for the purpose of thermally insulating the gas turbine casing. Further, since no difference is shown between the coating of Grunke et al. and the coating of Saruhan-Brings et al. it is the position of the Examiner that the coating of Saruhan-Bring et al. would also be abradable like the coating of Grunke et al.

Art Unit: 3745

CONCLUSION

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne J. White whose telephone number is (571) 272-4825. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am to 4 pm T-F and alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dwayne J White Patent Examiner Art Unit 3745

DJW